

MEMORANDUM

Date: August 19, 2022

To: Region 10 RTAC and Alternates

From: Mary Rump, Transportation Director

Re: Next RTAC Meeting – **August 29, 2022 @ 2:00 pm**

The agenda for the August 29th RTAC meeting is attached. Please remember that we will meet via Zoom. Some agenda items have been highlighted below.

Item 3.1 Review TAP Application Review Process – The committee will continue to discuss changes to the TAP application review process – including the review scenarios discussed at the August 19th meeting.

If you have any questions about the materials enclosed, please give me a call. I look forward to seeing you on August 29th.

Enclosures

**Region 10
RTAC
Wednesday, August 29, 2022 @ 2:00 pm
Via Zoom**

<https://us06web.zoom.us/j/84352200484?pwd=MFdwVFE4M3AvNDFGMS94Zk9VK1o3UT09>

AGENDA

page(s)

- 1.0 Call to Order
- 2.0 Routine Matters
 - 2 .1 Approval of agenda
 - 3-4 .2 Approval of minutes
 - .3 Public comment
- 3.0 New Business
 - .1 Review TAP Application Review Process
- 4.0 Old Business
- 5.0 Adjournment and Set Next Meeting Date – TBD.

If requested, ECICOG make reasonable accommodations for people planning to attend the meeting. Please call (319) 365-9941 or email mary.rump@ecicog.org to make a request.

**Region 10
RTAC Meeting
August 19, 2022
Via Zoom**

The following members participated:

Brad Freidhof, Johnson County
Becky Soglin, Johnson County
Matt Amelon, Iowa County
Zach Rozmos, Washington County
Brad Mormann, Jones County
Randy Burke, Linn County
Ted Doscher, Linn County
Rob Fangmann, Cedar County
Randy Scheel, Benton County
Nick Amelon, Iowa County

Members Absent:

Mike Dauber, Cedar County
Lisa McQuillen, Jones County
Mark Pingnot, Benton County
Richard Young, Washington County

Staff present:

Mary Rump

Others participating:

1.0 Call to Order

Mormann called the meeting to order at 1:31pm.

2.1 Approval of Agenda

M/S/C (Freidhof, Doscher) to approve the agenda. All ayes.

2.2 Approval of Minutes: M/S/C (Burke, Scheel) to approve the minutes. All ayes.

2.3 Public Comment: None

3.1 Review TAP Application Process

The committee reviewed the spreadsheet detailing the bonus points discussed at the July meeting – one option giving eligible bonus points for each comparison and the other option giving eligible bonus points to the end scores. All agreed that the option providing bonus points for each comparison was too cumbersome and preferred to have bonus points added to the end score. Most felt that the originally planned bonus points were too small to have an impact. Much of the discussion centered around what the bonus points should be. Soglin pointed out that a bonus percentage was more effective than bonus points. Following considerable discussion, the group asked Rump to summarize the new totals if bonus percentages were the following:

Scenario A – 10% bonus if the application came from a county that hadn't had an award in 3+ years, 20% bonus if the application came from a county that hadn't had an award in 4-9 years, and 30% bonus if the application came from a county that hadn't had an award in 10+ years. These percentages are based on the preliminary total score of pair comparisons.

Scenario B – 15% bonus if the application came from a county that hadn't had an award in 3+ years, 25% bonus if the application came from a county that hadn't had an award in 4-9 years, and 35% bonus if the application came from a county that hadn't had an award in 10+ years. These percentages are based on the preliminary total score of pair comparisons.

Rump agreed to email a new spreadsheet with these scenarios and the group agreed to continue the discussion in late August, so a process is in place before the application announcement goes out on September 1.

4.0 Old Business

None

5.0 Adjournment and Set Next Meeting Date

M/S/C (Freidhof, Soglin) to adjourn the meeting at 2:30 PM, and set the next meeting for August 29 @ 2:00 pm. All ayes